
Accounting & Taxation

VOLUME 4

NUMBER 2

2012

CONTENTS

Value Relevance of Book and Tax Income: A Macroeconomic Conditions Perspective Mingjun Zhou	1
Why Higher Levels of Auditor-Provided Tax Services Lower the Likelihood of Restatements Kevin A. Diehl	13
Is the IRS a Sore Loser? Laura Lee Mannino	31
Distances and Networks: The Case of Mexico Linda Margarita Medina Herrera & Ernesto Armando Pacheco Velázquez	39
Factors Associated with Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Abdullah Mohammed Alzharani, Ayoib Che-Ahmad & Khaled Salmen Aljaaidi	49
Residual Income Versus Discounted Cash Flow Valuation Models: An Empirical Study Ali Atilla Perek & Seda Perek	57
Comprehensive Income Disclosures: Evidence from Italy Olga Ferraro	65
Could Peer-to-Peer Loans Substitute for Payday Loans? Lynda S. Livingston	77
An Algorithm for the Detection of Revenue and Retained Earnings Manipulation Igor Pustylnick	95
The Impact of IFRS for SMEs on the Accounting Profession: Evidence From Fiji Fazeena Fazneen Hussain, Priyashni Vandana Chand & Prena Rani	107

Accounting & Taxation

Editors

Managing Editor
Mercedes Jalbert

Academic Editor
Terrance Jalbert

Editorial Advisory Board

James E. Briley
Northeastern State University
Gary M. Fleischman
University of Wyoming
Anne B. Fosbre
Georgian Court University
Peter Harris
New York Institute of Technology

Paul D. Hutchison
University of North Texas
John Shon
Fordham University
Gianfranco A. Vento
Regent's College
Kenton Walker
University of Wyoming

Accounting & Taxation, ISSN:1944-592X (print) and ISSN 2157-0175 (online), publishes high-quality articles in all areas of accounting, auditing, taxation and related fields. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration. The Journal is published twice per year by the Institute for Business and Finance Research, LLC. All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. The Journal is listed in Cabell's directory and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print and through EBSCO*Host* and SSRN. The Journal is ranked in The Australian Business Deans Council Ranking.

The views presented in the Journal represent opinions of the respective authors. The views presented do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the editors, editorial board or staff of the Institute for Business and Finance Research, LLC. The Institute actively reviews articles submitted for possible publication. However, the Institute does not warrant the correctness of the information provided in the articles or the suitability of information in the articles for any purpose.

This Journal is the result of the collective work of many individuals. The Editors thank the members of the Editorial Board, ad-hoc reviewers and individuals that have submitted their research to the Journal for publication consideration.

All Rights Reserved The Institute for Business and Finance Research, LLC

ISSN : 1944-592X (print)

ISSN: 2157-0175 (online)



VALUE RELEVANCE OF BOOK AND TAX INCOME: A MACROECONOMIC CONDITIONS PERSPECTIVE

Mingjun Zhou, DePaul University

ABSTRACT

This paper tests how macroeconomic conditions affect the value relevance of book and tax income. Based on regression coefficient estimates, more than 8% in the value relevance of book income is dependent on the interaction with a proxy for the macroeconomy. For a possible policy change where tax rules have a greater influence on financial accounting, the erosion of earnings quality will be more pronounced during economic expansion than contraction. Under such policy change, investors may lose additional information for them to price earnings based on stages of the economy.

JEL: E30, H25, M41

KEYWORDS: book income, tax income, book-tax conformity, earnings quality, macroeconomic conditions

INTRODUCTION

The income reporting system in the U.S. has its distinct feature. The management of a public company prepares two income measures, one under the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (book income) and the other according to tax accounting rules promulgated by the government (tax income). Proponents of book-tax conformity argue that closing this dual-reporting arrangement will mitigate information asymmetry and the opportunistic reporting behaviors of managers (Desai 2005, Joint Committee on Taxation 2006). Opponents argue that accounting quality will be undermined if book income is conformed to tax income. Specifically, Hanlon, Laplante and Shevlin (2005, hereafter HLS) suggest that book income is more value relevant than tax income for U.S. capital market participants. This study provides detailed analysis on the underlying macroeconomic factor that contributes to book income's greater value relevance. The debate is still on-going (see Atwood et al 2010) about the costs and benefits of book-tax conformity and the resulting changes in accounting quality. The analyses here suggests that if the conformity were to be increased toward tax income, market participants would be handicapped to price accounting earnings based on state of the economy.

This paper follows the stream of literature considering value relevance among the fundamental characteristics of earnings quality (Francis and Schipper 1999, Barth et al 2008). Extant literature measures value relevance as the relation between accounting information (earnings) and the buy-and-hold stock returns into the following months that could be earned with foreknowledge of such information (returns). Building on this aspect of value relevance, HLS use book and tax income to predict buy-and-hold stock returns in the future. They document that book income exhibits significantly greater value relevance than tax income in the regression coefficients and the R-square measures. Since relevance is the foundation of earnings quality, the finding in HLS supports opponents' view that financial statements quality will be affected if book income conforms to tax income.

This paper, investigates the relation between the macroeconomic factor and the value relevance of book and tax income. Using a regression model where changing macroeconomic conditions interact with book and tax income, the results show that the value relevance of book income is an increasing function of the macroeconomy. The result indicates that book income exhibits more (less) value relevance during economic expansion (contraction); but the value relevance of tax income appears to be insensitive to the state of the economy. This probably is due to the higher conditional accounting conservatism that was inherent in financial reporting. Under conditional conservatism, firms delay the recognition of good news but report bad news timely. On the other hand, the computations of tax income tend to accelerate income

WHY HIGHER LEVELS OF AUDITOR-PROVIDED TAX SERVICES LOWER THE LIKELIHOOD OF RESTATEMENTS

Kevin A. Diehl, Western Illinois University

ABSTRACT

Kinney et al. (2004) ask in the Journal of Accounting Research: Why do higher levels of auditor-provided tax services lower the chances of restatements? In resolving this question, this paper investigates the relationship between auditor-provided tax services and restatements with proxies to represent the motivations of the audit committee and chief financial officers. Because Sarbanes-Oxley requires audit committee preapproval for these tax services, the necessity for including these variables is obvious. Logistic regression of seven specifications show that higher levels of auditor-provided tax services, financial experts, and long-term compensation are inversely and statistically significantly related to all restatements and (more strongly) to tax-influential restatements. The cash effective tax rate directly and statistically significantly relates to those specifications, showing that just increasing spending on these tax services cannot signal high-quality financial reporting in the absence of effective utilization.

JEL: H20

KEYWORDS: restatements, audit committees, tax

INTRODUCTION

This research seeks to answer the question that Kinney et al. (2004) ask in the *Journal of Accounting Research*. They seek to know why higher levels of auditor-provided tax services lower the chances of restatements. In the course of answering the posed research question, this paper is the first to investigate the relationship between auditor-provided tax services fees and restatements with proxies to represent the motivations of the audit committee of the board of directors and chief financial officers. Because Sarbanes-Oxley requires audit committee preapproval for all auditor-provided tax services that chief financial officers request, the reasons for including these variables are obvious. The question is timely as well.

Determining what conditions lower the chances for restatement can signal to investors which companies have higher-quality financial reporting. High-quality financial reporting is important to investors as companies, on average, lose 9.2 percent of market capitalization for each restatement (Srinivasan, 2005). Once investors consider these signals, perhaps surprisingly, tax services acquisition could become more efficient. Likewise, stronger corporate governance could emerge. While Kinney et al. (2004) mention that larger companies seem to exhibit the relationship between the extent of auditor-provided tax services fees and restatements, they leave the relationship between auditor-provided tax services fees and restatements largely unexplained and untested. In the intervening years, research has considered the relationship between audit fees and non-audit fees before and after the implementation of Sarbanes-Oxley (Omer et al., 2006; Bedard & Paquette, 2010). However, little to no extant research has sought to answer the 2004 *Journal of Accounting Research* implied question. This situation has less to do with the importance and continued currency of the question and potentially more to do with the lack of researchers with the necessary experience in tax and auditing to pursue this inquiry with sufficient vigor.

The sole specific inquiry has emerged from Seetharaman et al. (2010) relatively recently, showing the currency of the question. They consider whether Kinney et al.'s (2004) discovery with regard to auditor-

IS THE IRS A SORE LOSER?

Laura Lee Mannino, St. John's University

ABSTRACT

Like all administrative agencies, the Internal Revenue Service is given a fair amount of authority to enforce the laws enacted by Congress. Taxpayers often question the amount of authority granted to the Internal Revenue Service, and whether such authority is abused. In some situations this questioning of authority leads to litigation, resulting in both wins and losses for the Internal Revenue Service. From time to time, the Internal Revenue Service will respond to losses by creating a new rule or amending an existing one. Recent examples in the judicial system highlight the issue of administrative authority, and beg the question: Is the Internal Revenue Service a sore loser?

JEL: H24, H26, H32, M42, M48

KEYWORDS: Administrative authority, Internal Revenue Service, response to litigation

INTRODUCTION

Congress delegates certain authority to the Treasury Department through the Internal Revenue Code (Code). For example, Congress states that “the administration and enforcement of [the Code] shall be performed by or under the supervision of the Secretary of the Treasury” (26 USC § 7801). In addition, “the Secretary shall prescribe all needful rules and regulations for the enforcement” of the Code (26 USC § 7805). It is pursuant to these grants of power from Congress that the Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issue regulations. Taxpayers have questioned the IRS’s powers in a series of recent cases, and the IRS has responded by exercising even more authority.

The issue addressed in this paper is whether the Treasury Department and IRS are permitted to change regulations following a loss in court. Prior literature has addressed issues relating to deference, conflict between administrative rules and judicial decisions, proper rulemaking procedures and policy aspects of tax litigation. This paper adds to the literature by describing how courts are responding to regulations that are issued or changed in direct response to adverse litigation. Although the particular issues in these cases relate to taxation, the outcomes relating to the authority of the Treasury Department are wide reaching in that the holdings are not limited to the Treasury Department, but rather are applicable to all administrative agencies.

The next section summarizes previous literature related to the topic. The Recent Cases section describes the facts and outcomes of two judicial proceedings that the Treasury Department directly responded to by issuing or amending regulations. The next sections, Discussion and Unresolved Issues, describe how the courts are responding to these regulations, followed by Concluding Comments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Much of the literature relates to the deference given by courts to the rules and regulations of administrative agencies. Gans (2002) tracked how the deference standard changed over time in the context of tax law, noting that *Chevron v. U.S.* had a “transformative impact” on administrative authority. His discussion of deference centered on two cases decided by circuit courts of appeals in the 1990s, both having similar generation-skipping tax issues. Although the government had been successful in one of the cases, it had lost the other. Following the loss, it amended the relevant regulation to conform to the arguments that had resulted in success, an act that Gans described as “the government declar[ing] victory

DISTANCES AND NETWORKS: THE CASE OF MEXICO

Linda Margarita Medina Herrera, Tecnológico de Monterrey
Ernesto Armando Pacheco Velázquez, Tecnológico de Monterrey

ABSTRACT

The influence of six different distances on the structure of minimum spanning trees is presented in this paper. Measures of complex networks are built based on the closing prices of stocks of the main companies traded on the Mexican Stock Market. We find that the City block and Chi distances not only match, but also determine more precisely the central vertex, the level of the tree and the clusters formed by the economic sector. The trees formed using Minkowski distances have similar structures and show a disadvantage when classifying the vertices. The construction and telecommunication sectors are most important within the trees, regardless of the distance used.

JEL: C02, C22, C38, C45, C61, C8, D85

KEYWORDS: Stock market network. Econophysics. Distances. Minimum spanning tree.

INTRODUCTION

In 1926 the Czech scientist Otakar Boruvka developed an algorithm that allowed creation of an electrical network in Moravia using a minimal amount of cable. The idea of joining the vertices with cables more efficiently, has been studied extensively in graph theory by spanning trees, which is a plot of N objects (vertices or nodes) connected by $N-1$ arcs. Among all the spanning trees, the minimum spanning tree is the one that minimizes the weight of the tree (the sum of its arcs).

Minimum spanning trees have been widely used to analyze financial assets behavior. In this type of financial trees the nodes or vertices are assets and the arcs are distances, constructed from the correlation coefficient. One of the most interesting applications of the minimum spanning tree is portfolio optimization. By using special measures in the tree, the central vertex (the center of mass or the vertex with the greatest influence) is selected, vertices are classified according to their distance to the central vertex and finally a function that minimizes portfolio risk is established. Minimum risk assets are located in the outer branches of the tree, while higher returns assets are near the central vertex. Minimum spanning trees have been also used to analyze financial assets behavior at different points in time allowing for extraction of information in times of crisis.

The Euclidean distance has usually been used to build the trees, since the distances are obtained from the correlation matrix in a very simple way. However, there is no evidence that Euclidean distance is the most suitable. One contribution of this paper is that there are distances that distinguish more adequately the central vertex and other important characteristics of minimum spanning trees. An empirical result is obtained from the construction and analysis of six spanning trees whose vertices are the main companies in the Mexican Stock Market (Bolsa Mexicana de Valores).

The Mexican stock market is one of the most important in Latin America and one of the ten big emerging markets. Recently, a number of Mexican companies have been involved worldwide in mergers and acquisitions.

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH FIRM PERFORMANCE: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

Abdullah Mohammed Alzharani, Universiti Utara Malaysia

Ayoib Che-Ahmad, Universiti Utara Malaysia

Khaled Salmen Aljaaidi, Universiti Utara Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relations between agency cost variables (firm size, leverage and auditor type) and the firm performance of 392 listed companies in the Saudi Stock Exchange (Tadawul) during 2007-2010. This study identifies two measurements of the firm performance: (1) ROA and (2) ROE. Using the multiple regression, the results of this study show that the likelihood a firm performance (ROA) is significantly affected increases with the firm size. On the other hand, leverage and auditor type have no influence on the firm performance. Interestingly, the explanatory power of firm performance (ROA) model is high. Somewhat surprisingly, model (2)'s explanatory power is insignificant indicating to the inability of the proposed variables (firm size, leverage and auditor type) in explaining the expected effect on firm performance (ROE).

JEL: M41

KEYWORDS: ROA, ROE, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

INTRODUCTION

There are increasing openness and integration of Saudi Arabia with the global economy which, in turn, has created push-and-pull factors that are contributing to changing the institutional framework environment which, consequently, are aiming in enhancing companies values in the Saudi marketplace. Subsequently, after these recent developments, Saudi Arabia is found to be a profitable business environment for local, regional, and foreign investors (Bley & Chen, 2006; Al-Hussaini & Al-Sultan, 2008; Al-Shammari, Brown & Tarca, 2008; Gulf Base, 2009). There has been a surge of interest in Saudi Arabia about the firm performance issues. Several studies have been conducted in different contexts other than Saudi Arabia (Han, Lee & Suk, 1999; Kang & Zardkoohi, 2005; Haniffa & Hudaib, 2006; Aljifri & Moustafa, 2007). Therefore, the conflicting and inconclusive results evidenced by the prior studies on firm performance, the paucity of firm Performance research in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the recent incremental developments that have been implying to Saudi market derive the motivation for investigating the firm performance in the setting of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In particular, little is known and many questions remain unanswered about firm performance in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Yet, to the best of the researchers' knowledge, no empirical evidence exists that allows conclusive determinations to be made of how companies incorporating in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia perform. With support for this, Meyer (1977) argues that management research should pay more attention to specific cultures, legal frameworks, geographies, and industry structures (Meyer & Rowan, 1977). "Management theories" based on western firms may be unsuitable and irrelevant to other countries and, consequently, previous studies' findings might not be applicable in the context of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the objective of this study is to provide empirically evidence on the determinants influencing companies' performance in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the literature review and the hypotheses development. Section 3 describes the research

RESIDUAL INCOME VERSUS DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW VALUATION MODELS: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

Ali Atilla Perek, Marmara University
Seda Perek, Istanbul Bilgi University

ABSTRACT

Valuation plays a central role in the financing, investing and operating decisions of companies and many methods are employed to approximate the true value of a company. Although these techniques are based on similar theory, they may generate different results in application. This study incorporates an empirical approach to compare the outcomes of two different methods: residual income and discounted cash flow valuation models. The aim of this study is to test whether these methods result in different values and to contribute to the understanding of why these two valuation techniques, although similar in theory, may generate different results when applied to real life companies. There are a number of studies that compare these two methods theoretically. Some studies claim the superiority of one method over the other and some argue that these two methods should yield the same results when applied properly. In this study, the residual income and discounted cash flow models are applied to nine Turkish companies and the results are compared. We have obtained the data for the study with site visits to the companies and with the help of the managements of the companies.

JEL: G32

KEYWORDS: Valuation, Residual Income Model, Discounted Cash Flow Model, Accounting Based Valuation, Case Study, Turkey

INTRODUCTION

Valuation has been an important topic of finance research for a long time. The estimation of the true value of a business firm challenged academicians as well as practitioners, company owners, managers, and consulting firms in the past and it will most likely continue to be a challenging issue in the future. Companies need their equities valued for various reasons such as borrowing, initial public offering, and merging or being acquired. Valuation is of growing importance especially in emerging countries, such as Turkey, which is a country with a growing economy and with a lot of attraction for foreign investments.

The valuation models can be classified into three categories, which can be associated with the income, market, and cost approaches, respectively: discounted cash flow valuation, relative valuation, and contingent claim valuation. There is also a fourth category usually added to these three categories, accounting based valuation (Bertoncel, 2006). Each approach embodies different models and these models often produce significantly different values (Damodaran, 2002). The most widely used model among these is the discounted cash flow valuation model.

The aim of the discounted cash flow models is to approximate intrinsic value and the main principle of the models is to find the present value of the future expected cash flows on an asset. To find the present value of an asset the models require the knowledge of the life of the asset, expected annual cash flows over the life of the asset, and an appropriate discount rate as inputs. Based on empirical evidence, these models can be found to work best when the cash flows produced by an asset is positive (Damodaran, 2002). Relative valuation depends on finding similar assets that are priced in a market, determining a standardized price through multiples, and controlling for the differences between the asset being valued

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME DISCLOSURES: EVIDENCE FROM ITALY

Olga Ferraro, University of Calabria

ABSTRACT

International Accounting Standard No. 1 was released in 2007. The standard required publicly traded companies to separately report comprehensive income in the financial statements. International Accounting Standard No. 1 prescribed two alternative formats for the presentation without mandating any one specific format. It also provides other options such as the criterion for classification of expenses and the presentation of Other Comprehensive Income items at net or gross of tax. The present study examined the presentation of comprehensive income by a sample of companies listed on the Italian Stock Exchange. The goal is to define the degree of homogeneity of the income statements and to explore current correlation between the direction and size of the Other Comprehensive Income and to identify the prescribed method to present Comprehensive Income. The results show substantial uniformity across Italian companies, with regard to how company accountants present Other Comprehensive Income in their financial statement. Also, the results lead us to believe that the choice of two separate statements may be linked to the greater relative size of the Net income.

JEL: M41

KEY WORDS: IAS 1, reporting format, comprehensive income, consolidated financial statement

INTRODUCTION

International Accounting Standards No.1 (IAS 1), “Presentation of Financial Statement” allows two format choices for reporting comprehensive income (CI): a single statement or two separate statements. Furthermore, for the income statement there are other options such as the criterion for classification of expenses and the presentation of other comprehensive income (OCI) items at net or gross of tax.

In this context, the present study has a dual aim: to define the degree of homogeneity of the financial statements for CI, after the introduction of the revised version of IAS 1, and to explore the current correlation, between the direction and size of the items of OCI and the presentation of CI in one of the two prescribed formats. The first objective is based on the belief that the presence of different options can affect the homogeneity of financial statements. In the past, the directive 78/660/EC (IV directive) and 83/349/EC (VII directive) brought about a partial harmonization that then led to the adoption of IAS/IFRS in Europe. The second objective is linked to the strong grounding, for Italian companies, in the criterion of historical cost for the preparation of financial statements. Consequently, it is estimated that in Italy the choice of one statement rather than another depends both on the contribution the components of OCI have on total income and the relative strength of the OCI on the Net Income (NI).

Data were examined for all companies listed on the Italian Stock Exchange in 2009, excluding companies in the financial sector. This sample of firms provides a unique setting in which to inform Italian policy makers on the value relevance of the additional information included in the new accounting standards. Our study contributes to the ongoing debate on the format of CI. This study it is the first known empirical research to investigate the Italian context after the adoption of the revised version of IAS 1.

COULD PEER-TO-PEER LOANS SUBSTITUTE FOR PAYDAY LOANS?

Lynda S. Livingston, University of Puget Sound and 4 Horsemen Investments

ABSTRACT

Many consumer advocates consider payday loans—short-term, uncollateralized loans with high interest rates—to be predatory. The demand for short-term funding has spurred the quest for a substitute, an effort encouraged and supported by regulators like the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. In this paper, we evaluate the potential for online peer-to-peer markets to provide this alternative. We conclude that while certain features of peer-to-peer loans would be well suited (such as their longer terms, larger amounts, and multiple payments), the longer time to fund and the required minimum credit scores for borrowers present meaningful hurdles.

JEL: G18, G21, G28

KEYWORDS: Fringe Lending, Payday Loans, Peer-to-Peer Loans

INTRODUCTION

Payday loans have been called “one of the most expensive forms of credit in the world” (Skiba and Tobacman, 2008). A typical two-week payday loan costs \$15 per \$100 borrowed—a 391.07% APR, or 3,724% effective annual rate. Opponents accuse these extremely high-interest loans of drawing borrowers into a “debt trap.” The industry counters that its rapid growth proves that it is providing a necessary, welfare-enhancing service to its customers.

The fact that customers use payday loans does not imply that these loans are the optimal short-term product. In this paper, we evaluate a potential alternative, peer-to-peer (P2P) loans. In a P2P marketplace, potential borrowers post requests for loans, and potential lenders bid on those that interest them. A lender can bid as little as \$25 per loan, so it may take many lenders to fund a successful loan request. This more “democratic” process may lead to a more efficient outcome than can a payday transaction.

To evaluate the potential for the P2P market to provide short-term, unsecured, payday-like credit, we consider both the Prosper platform (the oldest P2P network in the United States, opened in 2006) and its highest-profile competitor, Lending Club. We find that, as the P2P market has evolved, its minimum loan sizes, bids per loan, and terms have all fallen, making it a more viable payday alternative. The biggest hurdles left are access, funding speed, and required credit score. However, we argue some payday customers nonetheless may be better off in the P2P market.

The paper proceeds as follows. In the next two sections, we review and evaluate the literature on payday loans and the payday borrower; this review allows us to characterize the market to which we want to apply the P2P approach. Given this characterization, we then evaluate the potential for P2P loans to serve the typical payday customer. The final section highlights the primary hurdles for short-term borrowers in the peer-to-peer market, suggests areas for future research, and concludes.

AN ALGORITHM FOR THE DETECTION OF REVENUE AND RETAINED EARNINGS MANIPULATION

Igor Pustylnick, SMC University

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a statistical analysis confirming the former empirical findings that positive differences between the growth rates of P-Score and Z-score appears in financial statement data of companies involved in major financial fraud. The paper examines firms that engaged in fraud in the late 1990's through early 2000's. The paper reports the results of regression analysis, using ratios, from financial statement data used in the calculations of P-Score and Z-Score. The results show that positive values of the difference between the growth rates of P-Score and Z-Score correlate with Net Income, Revenue, Retained Earnings and Total Equity ratios. Both ratios represent the financial statement areas where most identified fraud occurred. The findings imply that positive differences between the rates of growth suggest financial statement manipulation. The standard error of the estimate shows the early linear regression to be coarse. The final part of the paper optimizes the linear regression formula and discusses its limits. The paper shows the potential uses of Extensible Business Reporting Language (XLRB) for getting the necessary values for algorithm calculations.

JEL: M41, M42, M48

KEYWORDS: Financial statements, fraud, manipulation, Z-Score, P-Score, revenue, retained earnings, XBRL

INTRODUCTION

According to 2008 ACFE Report to the Nation (ACFE, 2008) over 41% of all material misstatements in financial reporting results from altering the accounting records connected to revenue generation. Investment brokers and investors make important investment decisions using these revenue figures. According to (Summers & Sweeney, 1998) the knowledge of such misstatements can become a basis for insider trading in any affected company. Many financial scandals, which took place at the turn of the 21st century, were the result of improper revenue recognition and altering existing revenue figures to prove that company had achieved its financial targets (Agrawal & Chadha, 2005).

Despite efforts to automate the discovery of financial statement manipulation, most discoveries of manipulation, which lead to fraud charges later in court, still come from non-accounting sources, such as internal tips and unrelated police work. According to (ACFE, 2008) around 60% of all fraud charges were not a result of audit or accounting work. Because of this, the use of the computerized means of manipulation prediction becomes important.

Recently, there were several notable efforts to create criteria of prediction of the financial state of the enterprise. Altman, created a Z-Score designed to predict bankruptcy (Altman, 1968) . Beneish, 1999 designed several ratios, which showed statistically different results for known manipulators with the financial statements as opposed to non-manipulators. Combining these ratios into one regression formula was largely unsuccessful and produced slightly over 50% success in detecting manipulators.

The AAER statements issued by Security Exchange Commission in the USA shows the character of financial statement manipulations differs from one infraction to the next. The character of infractions, committed by the companies are listed in Appendix. The study described in (Pustylnick, 2009) shows it is

THE IMPACT OF IFRS FOR SMES ON THE ACCOUNTING PROFESSION: EVIDENCE FROM FIJI

Fazeena Fazneen Hussain, The University of the South Pacific

Priyashni Vandana Chand, The University of the South Pacific

Prena Rani, The University of the South Pacific

ABSTRACT

At the turn of the millennium, the many corporate collapses and fraudulent financial reporting practices tarnished the reputation of accountants and resulted in a credibility crisis for the accounting profession. The profession responded by developing IFRS and IFRS for SMEs that would assist in achieving a more transparent and principles-based financial reporting framework. Fiji is not far behind from other developed countries when it comes to adoption of international reporting standards as this is evidenced by the early adoption of IFRS by large reporting entities beginning January, 2007 and IFRS for SMEs by small and medium-sized entities from January, 2011. Unlike the non-big 4 accountancy firms (local firms) in Fiji, the big 4 firms (PricewaterhouseCoopers, KPMG, Ernst and Young and G.Lal) have necessary resources and expertise to assist in adoption of international reporting standards like IFRS and IFRS for SMEs. This study therefore, examines the preparedness, capacity and challenges faced by big 4 and non-big 4 accounting firms in dealing with IFRS for SMEs in Fiji through in depth interviews. The results show the big 4 have a competitive advantage over the non-big 4 as they have substantial resources, expertise and receive training support from their global network.

JEL: M41

KEYWORDS: IFRS for SMEs, Profession, Emerging Economies

INTRODUCTION

At the turn of the millennium, the many corporate collapses, business failures and fraudulent financial reporting scandals tarnished the reputation of accountants and resulted in a credibility crisis for the accounting profession. The profession struggled to rebuild its reputation and desperately searched for a solution. At the same time, the international orientation of businesses and the growth of financial markets increased investment opportunities in capital markets. This created the need for a common reporting framework, which would provide reliable and comparable accounting information across borders. The constantly changing information needs of users of financial reports and the increase in the use of fair value added to the many challenges facing the accounting profession. All this resulted in a major restructure in the financial reporting framework leading to the development of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the International Financial Reporting Standard for Small and Medium-sized Entities (IFRS for SMEs) by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Almost 66 jurisdictions around the globe, including Fiji, have already adopted or plan to adopt IFRS for SMEs as their principal financial reporting standard for small and medium sized reporting entities, with or without revisions (IFRS, 2010).

While many prior studies have examined the impact of international accounting standards on reporting entities, few have tried to analyze the impact of such standards on the accounting profession. Understanding the impact of adopting globalized accounting standards in emerging economies would help us identify the benefits and limitations of such adoption as well as identify the potential factors that are necessary for a successful transition. This in turn would allow practitioners to better prepare themselves

REVIEWERS

The IBFR would like to thank the following members of the academic community and industry for their much appreciated contribution as reviewers.

Hisham Abdelbaki, University of Mansoura - Egypt	Lucia Gibilaro, University of Bergamo
Isaac Oluwajoba Abereijo, Obafemi Awolowo University	Carlos Alberto González Camargo, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano
Nsiah Acheampong, University of Phoenix	Hector Alfonso Gonzalez Guerra, Universidad Autonoma de Coahuila
Vera Adamchik, University of Houston-Victoria	Hongtao Guo, Salem State University
Iyabo Adeoye, National Horticultural Research Institute, Ibadan, Nigeria.	Danyelle Guyatt, University of Bath
Michael Adusei, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology	Shahriar Hasan, Thompson Rivers University
Sylvester Akinbuli, University of Lagos	Zulkifli Hasan, Islamic University College of Malaysia
Anthony Akinlo, Obafemi Awolowo University	PENG HE, Investment Technology Group
Yousuf Al-Busaidi, Sultan Qaboos University	Niall Hegarty, St. John's University
Khaled Aljaaidi, Universiti Utara Malaysia	Claudia Soledad Herrera Oliva, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California
Hussein Al-Tamimi, University of Sharjah	Paulin Houanye, University of International Business and Education, School of Law
Paulo Alves, CMVM, ISCAL and Lusofona University	Daniel Hsiao, University of Minnesota Duluth
Ghazi Al-Weshah, Albalqa Applied University	Xiaochu Hu, School of Public Policy, George Mason University
Glyn Atwal, Groupe Ecole Supérieure de Commerce de Rennes	Biqing Huang, Angelo State University Member, Texas Tech University System ASU Station #10908
Fabiola Baltar, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata	Fazeena Hussain, University of the South Pacific
Susan C. Baxter, Bethune-Cookman College	Shilpa Iyanna, Abu Dhabi University
Nagib Bayoud, Tripoli University	Shilpa Iyanna, Abu Dhabi University
Ahmet Bayraktar, Rutgers University	Sakshi Jain, University of Delhi
Kyle Brink, Western Michigan University	Tejendra N. Kalia, Worcester State College
Karel Bruna, University of Economics-Prague	Krishna Kasibhatla, North Carolina A&T State University
Priyashni Chand, University of the South Pacific	Gary Keller, Eastern Oregon University
Yahn-Shir Chen, National Yunlin University of Science and Technology, Taiwan	Ann Galligan Kelley, Providence College
Wan-Ju Chen, Diwan College of Management	Ifraz Khan, University of the South Pacific
Bea Chiang, The College of New Jersey	Halil Kiyamaz, Rollins College
Te-Kuang Chou, Southern Taiwan University	Susan Kowalewski, D'Youville College
Shih Yung Chou, University of the Incarnate Word	Bohumil Král, University of Economics-Prague
Monica Clavel San Emeterio, University of La Rioja	Jan Kruger, Unisa School for Business Leadership
Caryn Coatney, University of Southern Queensland	Christopher B. Kummer, Webster University-Vienna
Michael Conyette, Okanagan College	Mei-Mei Kuo, JinWen University of Science & Technology
Leonel Di Camillo, Universidad Austral	Mary Layfield Ledbetter, Nova Southeastern University
Steven Dunn, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh	John Ledgerwood, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Esther Enriquez, Instituto Tecnológico de Ciudad Juarez	Yen-Hsien Lee, Department of Finance, Chung Yuan Christian University
Zaifeng Fan, University of Wisconsin whitewater	YingChou Lin, Missouri University of Science and Technology
Olga Ferraro, University of Calabria	
William Francisco, Austin Peay State University	
Blanca Rosa Garcia Rivera, Universidad Autónoma De Baja California	

Melissa Lotter, Tshwane University of Technology
Xin (Robert) Luo, Virginia State University
Andy Lynch, Southern New Hampshire University
Eduardo Macias-Negrete, Instituto Tecnológico de Ciudad Juarez
Abeer Mahrous, Cairo university
Gladys Marquez-Navarro, Saint Louis University
Jesús Apolinar Martínez Puebla, Universidad Autónoma De Tamaulipas
Cheryl G. Max, IBM
Aurora Irma Maynez Guaderrama, Universidad Autonoma de Ciudad Juarez
Romilda Mazzotta, University of Calabria
Mary Beth McCabe, National University
Avi Messica, Holon Institute of Technology
Cameron Montgomery, Delta State University
Sandip Mukherji, Howard University
Tony Mutsue, Iowa Wesleyan College
Cheedradevi Narayanasamy, Graduate School of Business, National University of Malaysia
Erwin Eduardo Navarrete Andrade, Universidad Central de Chile
Dennis Olson, Thompson Rivers University
Godwin Onyeaso, Shorter University
Bilge Kagan Ozdemir, Anadolu University
Dawn H. Percy, Eastern Michigan University
Eloisa Perez, MacEwan University
Pina Puntillo, University of Calabria (Italy)
Rahim Quazi, Prairie View A&M University
Anitha Ramachander, New Horizon College of Engineering
Charles Rambo, University of Nairobi, Kenya
Prena Rani, University of the South Pacific
Alma Ruth Rebolledo Mendoza, Universidad De Colima
Kathleen Reddick, College of St. Elizabeth
Maurizio Rija, University of Calabria.
Carmen Rios, Universidad del Este

Matthew T. Royle, Valdosta State University
Tatsiana N. Rybak, Belarusian State Economic University
Rafiu Oyesola Salawu, Obafemi Awolowo University
Paul Allen Salisbury, York College, City University of New York
Leire San Jose, University of Basque Country
Celsa G. Sánchez, CETYS Universidad
I Putu Sugiarta Sanjaya, Atma Jaya Yogyakarta University, Indonesia
Sunando Sengupta, Bowie State University
Brian W. Sloboda, University of Phoenix
Adriana Patricia Soto Aguilar, Benemerita Universidad Autonoma De Puebla
Smita Mayuresh Sovani, Pune University
Alexandru Stancu, University of Geneva and IATA (International Air Transport Association)
Jiří Strouhal, University of Economics-Prague
Qian Sun, Kutztown University
Diah Suryaningrum, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jatim
James Tanoos, Saint Mary-of-the-Woods College
Jeannemarie Thorpe, Southern NH University
Ramona Toma, Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu-Romania
Jorge Torres-Zorrilla, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú
Ozge Uygur, Rowan University
K.W. VanVuren, The University of Tennessee – Martin
Vijay Vishwakarma, St. Francis Xavier University
Ya-Fang Wang, Providence University
Richard Zhe Wang, Eastern Illinois University
Jon Webber, University of Phoenix
Jason West, Griffith University
Wannapa Wichitchanya, Burapha University
Veronda Willis, The University of Texas at San Antonio
Junye Yu, Louisiana State University

REVIEWERS

The IBFR would like to thank the following members of the academic community and industry for their much appreciated contribution as reviewers.

Haydeé Aguilar, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes

María Antonieta Andrade Vallejo, Instituto Politécnico Nacional

Olga Lucía Anzola Morales, Universidad Externado de Colombia

Hector Luis Avila Baray, Instituto Tecnológico De Cd. Cuauhtemoc

Graciela Ayala Jiménez, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro

Carlos Alberto Cano Plata, Universidad De Bogotá Jorge Tadeo Lozano

Edyamira Cardozo, Universidad Nacional Experimental De Guayana

Sheila Nora Katia Carrillo Incháustegui, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia

emma casas medina, Centro de Estudios Superiores del Estado de Sonora

Benjamín Castillo Osorio, Universidad Cooperativa De Colombia y Universidad De Córdoba

Benjamin Castillo Osorio, Universidad del Sinú-Sede Monteria

María Antonia Cervilla de Olivieri, Universidad Simón Bolívar

Cipriano Domingo Coronado García, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Semei Leopoldo Coronado Ramírez, Universidad de Guadalajara

Esther Eduvigés Corral Quintero, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Dorie Cruz Ramirez, Universidad Autonoma Del Estado De Hidalgo /Esc. Superior De Cd. Sahagún

Edna Isabel De La Garza Martinez, Universidad Autónoma De Coahuila

Javier de León Ledesma, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria - Campus Universitario de Tafira

Hilario Díaz Guzmán, Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla

Cesar Amador Díaz Pelayo, Universidad de Guadalajara, Centro Universitario Costa Sur

Elizabeth Avilés , CICESE

Ernesto Geovani Figueroa González, Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango

Ana Karen Fraire, Universidad De Guadalajara

Teresa García López, Universidad Veracruzana

Helbert Eli Gazca Santos, Instituto Tecnológico De Mérida

Ana Ma. Guillén Jiménez, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Ana Ma. Guillén Jiménez, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Araceli Gutierrez, Universidad Autonoma De Aguascalientes

Andreina Hernandez, Universidad Central de Venezuela

Arturo Hernández, Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana

Alejandro Hernández Trasobares, Universidad de Zaragoza

Alma Delia Inda, Universidad Autonoma Del Estado De Baja California

Terrance Jalbert, The IBFR

Gaspar Alonso Jiménez Rentería, Instituto Tecnológico de Chihuahua

Lourdes Jordán Sales, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Santiago León Ch., Universidad Marítima del Caribe

Graciela López Méndez, Universidad de Guadalajara-Jalisco

Virginia Guadalupe López Torres, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Angel Machorro Rodríguez, Instituto Tecnológico de Orizaba

Cruz Elda Macias Teran, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Aracely Madrid, ITESM, Campus Chihuahua

Deneb Magaña Medina, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco

Carlos Manosalvas, Universidad Estatal Amazónica

Gladys Yaneth Mariño Becerra, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia

Omaira Cecilia Martínez Moreno, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California-México

Jesus Carlos Martinez Ruiz, Universidad Autonoma De Chihuahua

Alaitz Mendizabal, Universidad Del País Vasco

Alaitz Mendizabal Zubeldia, Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Fidel Antonio Mendoza Shaw, Universidad Estatal De Sonora

Juan Nicolás Montoya Monsalve, Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Manizales

Jennifer Mul Encalada, Universidad Autónoma De Yucatán

Alberto Elías Muñoz Santiago, Fundación Universidad del Norte

Erika Olivas, Universidad Estatal de Sonora
Erick Orozco, Universidad Simon Bolivar
José Manuel Osorio Atondo, Centro de Estudios Superiores del Estado de Sonora
Luz Stella Pemberthy Gallo, Universidad del Cauca
Andres Pereyra Chan, Instituto Tecnológico De Merida
Adolfo León Plazas Tenorio, Universidad del Cauca
Hector Priego Huertas, Universidad De Colima
Juan Carlos Robledo Fernández, Universidad EAFIT-Medellin/Universidad Tecnológica de Bolivar-Cartagena
Humberto Rosso, Universidad Mayor de San Andres
José Gabriel Ruiz Andrade, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California-México
Antonio Salas, Universidad Autonoma De Chihuahua
Claudia Nora Salcido, Facultad de Economía Contaduría y Administración Universidad Juarez del Estado de Durango
Juan Manuel San Martín Reyna, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas-México
Francisco Sanches Tomé, Instituto Politécnico da Guarda
Edelmira Sánchez, Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez
Deycy Janeth Sánchez Preciado, Universidad del Cauca
María Cristina Sánchez Romero, Instituto Tecnológico de Orizaba
María Dolores Sánchez-Fernández, Universidade da Coruña
Luis Eduardo Sandoval Garrido, Universidad Militar de Nueva Granada

Pol Santandreu i Gràcia, Universitat de Barcelona, Santandreu Consultors
Victor Gustavo Sarasqueta, Universidad Argentina de la Empresa UADE
Jaime Andrés Sarmiento Espinel, Universidad Militar de Nueva Granada
Jesus Otoniel Sosa Rodriguez, Universidad De Colima
Edith Georgina Surdez Pérez, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco
Jesús María Martín Terán Gastélum, Centro de Estudios Superiores del Estado de Sonora
Jesús María Martín Terán Gastélum, Centro de Estudios Superiores del Estado de Sonora
Jesus María Martín Terán Terán Gastélum, Centro de Estudios Superiores del Estado de Sonora
Maria De La Paz Toldos Romero, Tecnológico De Monterrey, Campus Guadalajara
Abraham Vásquez Cruz, Universidad Veracruzana
Lorena Vélez García, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California
Alejandro Villafañez Zamudio, Instituto Tecnológico de Matamoros
Hector Rosendo Villanueva Zamora, Universidad Mesoamericana
Oskar Villarreal Larrinaga, Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea
Delimiro Alberto Visbal Cadavid, Universidad del Magdalena

HOW TO PUBLISH

Submission Instructions

The Journal welcomes submissions for publication consideration. Authors wishing to submit papers for publication consideration should visit our website at www.theibfr.com/journal.htm, under “How to Submit a Paper.” Complete directions for manuscript submission are available at the Journal website www.theIBFR.com/journal.htm. Papers may be submitted for initial review in any format. However, authors should take special care to address spelling and grammar issues prior to submission. Authors of accepted papers are required to precisely format their document according to the guidelines of the journal.

There is no charge for paper reviews. The normal review time for submissions is 90-120 days. However, authors desiring a quicker review may elect to pay an expedited review fee. Authors of accepted papers are required to pay a publication fee based on the length of the manuscript. Please see our website for current publication and expedited review rates.

Authors submitting a manuscript for publication consideration must guarantee that the document contains the original work of the authors, has not been published elsewhere, and is not under publication consideration elsewhere. In addition, submission of a manuscript implies that the author is prepared to pay the publication fee should the manuscript be accepted.

Subscriptions

Individual and library subscriptions to the Journal are available. Please contact us by mail or by email to: admin@theibfr.com for updated information.

Contact Information

Mercedes Jalbert, Managing Editor
The IBFR
P.O. Box 4908
Hilo, HI 96720
editor@theIBFR.com

Website

www.theIBFR.org or www.theIBFR.com

PUBLICATION OPPORTUNITIES

REVIEW of BUSINESS & FINANCE STUDIES

Review of Business & Finance Studies

Review of Business & Finance Studies (ISSN: 2150-3338 print and 2156-8081 online) publishes high-quality studies in all areas of business, finance and related fields. Empirical, and theoretical papers as well as case studies are welcome. Cases can be based on real-world or hypothetical situations.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. The Journal is distributed in print and through SSRN and EBSCOhost Publishing, with nation-wide access in more than 70 countries. The Journal is listed in Cabell's directory.

The journal accept rate is between 15 and 25 percent

Business Education & Accreditation

Business Education and Accreditation (BEA)

Business Education & Accreditation publishes high-quality articles in all areas of business education, curriculum, educational methods, educational administration, advances in educational technology and accreditation. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. BEA is listed in Cabell's and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOhost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The journal acceptance rate is between 15 and 25 percent.

Accounting & Taxation

Accounting and Taxation (AT)

Accounting and Taxation (AT) publishes high-quality articles in all areas of accounting, auditing, taxation and related areas. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. AT is listed in Cabell's and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOhost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The journal acceptance rate is between 5 and 15 percent.

PUBLICATION OPPORTUNITIES

The International Journal of
RBusiness *and* Finance
RESEARCH

The International Journal of Business and Finance Research ISSN 1931-0269

The International Journal of Business and Finance Research (IJBFR) publishes high-quality articles in all areas of finance, accounting and economics. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. The IJBFR is listed in Cabell's, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory and The American Economic Association's *Econlit*, *e-JEL* and *JEL on CD*. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOHost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The IJBFR acceptance rate is between 5 and 10 percent.

IJMMR

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING RESEARCH

International Journal of Management and Marketing Research ISSN 1933-3153

The International Journal of Management and Marketing Research (IJMMR) publishes high-quality articles in all areas of management and marketing. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. The IJMMR is listed in Cabell's and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOHost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The IJMMR acceptance rate is between 5 and 10 percent.

Global Journal of
RBusiness
Research

**Global Journal of Business Research
ISSN 1931-0277**

The Global Journal of Business Research (GJBR) publishes high-quality articles in all areas of business. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. The GJBR is listed in Cabell's, The American Economic Association's *Econlit*, *e-JEL* and *JEL on CD*, and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOHost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The GJBR acceptance rate is 20 percent.



Revista Internacional
**ADMINISTRACION
& FINANZAS**

Revista Internacional Administración y Finanzas ISSN 1933-608X

Revista Internacional Administración y Finanzas (RIAF), a Spanish language Journal, publishes high-quality articles in all areas of business. Theoretical, empirical and applied manuscripts are welcome for publication consideration.

All papers submitted to the Journal are double-blind reviewed. RIAF is listed in The American Economic Association's *Econlit*, *e-JEL* and *JEL on CD*, and Ulrich's Periodicals Directory. The Journal is distributed in print, through SSRN and EBSCOHost publishing, with presence in over 70 countries.

The Journal acceptance rate is between 5 and 15 percent.
