FORECASTING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS USING RISK MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES INDUSTRY DATA

Terrance Jalbert, University of Hawaii at Hilo James E. Briley, Northeastern State University Mercedes Jalbert, The Institute for Business and Finance Research

ABSTRACT

Finance professionals must frequently forecast financial statements. The common practice for forecasting financial statements is to apply the percentage of sales method. In this paper, we develop a new method for forecasting financial statements based data available from The Risk Management Association. This method offers three advantages over the percentage of sales method. First, it specifies the appropriate percentages for each account using industry average data. Second, it allows the developer to use any figure in the income statement or balance sheet as a starting point. For example, an investor who knows only that they have \$100,000 available to start a company can forecast a balance sheet and income statement. Third, the percentage of sales method applies only to the income statement, while the method developed here allows estimation of both the income statement and balance sheet. Statements produced using the technique presented here are easily defendable to skeptical bankers.

JEL: A22, A23, C52, C53, C58

KEYWORDS: Forecasting, Banking, Entrepreneurship

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a new method to forecast financial statements. The approach relies on industry financial data available from Risk Management Associates (RMA), Annual Statement Studies. RMA Annual Statement Studies provide historical financial statements for some 760 industries based on the statements of firms that operate within each industry. The approach requires the user to provide a single estimate of sales or owner's equity contribution. From this estimate, a full balance sheet and income statement are prepared. This methodology is founded in scientific principles and derived from industry average data. As such, the resulting statements are more credible than percentage of sales or ad-hoc estimates. This added credibility should lead to better funding opportunities and lower capital costs. The method also allows financial analysts to make better recommendations and entrepreneurs to make better project selection decisions.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we discuss the related literature. The following section discussed the RMA data. Next, financial statement forecasts based on an estimate of firm sales and an estimate of owner's equity contribution combined with RMA data are provided. The paper closes with some concluding comments and precautionary notes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Many sources provide guidance for forecasting financials and preparing pro forma statements. The guidance suggests two basic approaches: percent of sales and comparable methods. The percent of sales approach uses history to forecast income statement and balance sheet accounts as a percent of projected sales. The judgmental approach improves on the strict percent of sales method by allowing for incorporation of additional information such as financial ratios to determine forecast levels of certain

accounts that do not vary directly with sales volume. These accounts include capital expenditures and debt levels. Both methods require a sales forecast as a starting point.

Corporate Finance texts concentrate on forecasting required new funds or external sources of financing for large established firms with a history of operating results (Block, Hirt and Danielson, 2009). (Gitman, 2009), (Brealey, Myers and Marcus, 2009) and (Ross, Westerfield and Jordan, 2010). Both approaches are well-suited for the analysis of long-term capital requirements of established firms. Financial Statement Analysis texts suggest preparing pro forma financial statements for prospective and credit analysis of established firms using a judgmental approach (Penman, 2010), (Subramayam and Wild, 2009), and (Revsine, Collins, Johnson and Mittelstaedt, 2009). The percent of sales and judgmental methods work well for companies that have past data to draw on but are not useful for a proposed or start-up company that needs to present a viable business plan to a lending institution.

Similar to what we propose but lacking detail, entrepreneurial texts suggest a comparables method for preparing pro forma statements as part of the business plan for companies in the proposal or start-up phases (Allen, 2006), (Kuratko, 2009), (Rogers, 2009), (Barringer and Ireland, 2010), (Adelman and Marks, 2004) and (Timmons, Zacharakis and Spinelli, 2004). Comparable companies operate in the same industry and provide a model for what the start-up wants to achieve. Using comparable financials as a base, pro forma statements are developed and revised according to the start-up's particular market, competition, and location. Entrepreneurial texts generally discuss the reasoning behind preparing pro forma statements based on comparables and in some cases even suggesting useful sources of information such as RMA needed to accomplish the plan. However, the entrepreneurial texts do not discuss the intricacies, offer comprehensive examples or provide templates of how to prepare an actual financial plan that can be used for financial planning, including investment, cash and financing needs.

There is a substantial and growing literature that documents the efficacy of using financial databases such as Compustat and Value Line to enhance student learning in finance courses by introducing "real world data" and hands-on analysis. Representative of this literature is (Loviscek, Crowley and Anderson, 2003), (Hess, 2006), (Kish and Hogan, 2001), (King and Jennings, 2004), (Kalra and Weber, 2004), (Gullett and Redman, 2004) and (Weaver, 2003) which finds increased student engagement, understanding and retention in Principles, Investments, Statement Analysis, Portfolio Management and Personal Finance courses. Using RMA data for forecasting is consistent with the best practices pedagogy that links theory with practice for the student by building analysis of real problems using actual data with all its inherent intricacy and ambiguity that is too often stripped away from textbook exercises.

DATA

Data for this paper were obtained from The Risk Management Association (RMA), Annual Statement Studies. Each year, RMA compiles information on the financial statements of firms by industry. The data includes balance sheet data, income statement data as well as sixteen financial ratios. The data are categorized based on the firm's asset level and sales level. Firms are sorted into six different asset levels and six different sales levels. RMA provides current financial data for each classification and several periods of historical data for each industry. Regional data is also available, but is not used in this study.

RMA ratios are developed based on the financial statements of some 285,000 firms. Data for each industry is averaged across the U.S. In addition average data by industry are available for six regions within the U.S. The data includes ratio averages for some 760 industries and is updated annually. The printed books, which include only national average data for each industry, are available from the RMA website with pricing starting at about \$390. RMA sells individual industry reports for \$140 each. An internet search suggests that many university libraries subscribe to the product. Used versions of the

books, with previous year data, are available for about \$100. Web and CD based versions, including both national and regionally segregated data, are available with similar pricing (www.rmahq.org).

The appendix contains specimen of the RMA, Annual Statement Study data for the 2010 retail floor covering stores (NAICS 444210) classification. The specimen are reprinted with permission from Risk Management Associates. The appendix shows the samples using current data sorted by sales and assets respectively. Additional information on default probabilities provided by RMA are also included. The left six columns of the table labeled Current Data Sorted by Assets show the data categorized by assets. The first of these six columns shows the data for firms with total assets between zero and \$500,000. The second column shows data for firms with sales of \$500,000-\$2 million and so forth. The two columns right of the labels show historical data. The leftmost column contains data for April 1, 2005-March 31, 2006. The next column presents data for the period April 1, 2006-March 31, 2007 and so forth through 2010. The Type of Statement area indicates the data source for each company and the total number of firms within the category. The careful reader will notice that for some ratio's each category includes three figures. In these instances, the top figure in the cell is the ratio for the upper quartile, the middle figure is for the median and the lower figure is for the lower quartile of firms.

FORECASTING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

To forecast financial statements, the user must select the appropriate industry. While RMA provides data for many industries, some firms may not fall exactly into one of these industries. In this case, the user faces two options: select data for the closest matching industry or average the data for two or more industries. Users should select the method that most closely approximates their own firm. Next, users provide a kernel or starting point for the forecasting process. The starting point can be any income statement or balance sheet item. Common starting points are total sales or the owner's equity contribution to the firm. The development of financial statement forecasts using these two starting points follow.

Sales Estimate Starting Point

Consider an entrepreneur who wishes to start a retail floor covering store that will achieve annual sales of \$1,500,000. When the process begins with a sales estimate, current or historical data sorted by sales should be used to formulate the statement estimates. The user should select the appropriate column corresponding with the sales estimate. In this case, the sales estimate falls between \$1 Million and \$3 Million, so the second column is selected.

The income statement is estimated first. Estimation of the income statement is straightforward. Each appropriate percentage must simply be multiplied by the sales estimate to obtain the correct figure. Table 1, Panel A presents the resulting calculations. The careful reader will notice that RMA does not provide cost of goods sold (COGS) percentages. However, COGS can be imputed as the difference between sales and gross profit. (\$1,500,000 - \$606,000 = \$894,000) The income statement stops at earnings before taxes. This occurs because RMA data does not provide tax estimates. The entrepreneur should use their own tax situation to provide a tax rate estimate and complete the net income calculations.

To estimate the balance sheet, a link between the income statement and balance sheet must be identified. The sales-to-total assets ratio, *STA*, reported by RMA provides an easy link. For this demonstration the median value of 3.4 is selected. The following sales-to-total assets formula is used to compute the asset amount: $STA = \frac{Sales}{Total Assets}$. Imputing data from the example gives: $3.4 = \frac{\$1,500,000}{Total Assets}$. Solving the equation produces a total assets estimate of \\$441,176.47. From this figure and noting that total assets must equal total liabilities plus equity, the remainder of the balance sheet is estimated using RMA percentages. Table 1, Panel B presents the balance sheet results.

The careful reader will notice that the other current assets amount has been changed from the RMA figures of 0.0022 to 0.0023 in the current tables. This procedure is often necessary to address rounding issues that occur in RMA data. The dollar amounts involved in these rounding procedures are generally small.

Table 1:	Financial	Statement	Estimates	starting	from a	Sales	Estimate
				<u> </u>			

Panel A: Income Statement										
Item	Percentage	Dollar Amount								
Sales	1	\$1,500,000								
Cost of Goods Sold		\$894,000								
Gross Profit	0.404	\$606,000								
Operating Expenses	0.401	\$601,500								
EBIT	0.003	\$4,500								
Other Expenses	0.007	\$10,500								
EBT	-0.004	-\$6,000								
Panel B: Balance Sheet										
Cash	0.121	\$53,382.35								
Trade Receivables	0.179	\$78,970.59								
Inventory	0.391	\$172,500.00								
Other Current Assets	0.023*	\$10,147.06								
Total Current Assets	0.714	\$315,000.00								
Net Fixed Assets	0.176	\$77,647.06								
Intangibles	0.034	\$15,000.00								
Other Non Current Assets	0.076	\$33,529.41								
Total Assets		\$441,176.47								
Notes Payable	0.159	\$70,147.06								
Current Mat. Long Term Debt	0.062	\$27,352.94								
Trade Payables	0.169	\$74,558.82								
Income Taxes Payable	0.001	\$441.18								
All Other Current	0.195	\$86,029.41								
Total Current	0.586	\$258,529.41								
Long Term Debt	0.16	\$70,588.24								
Deferred Taxes	0	\$0.00								
All Other Non-Current	0.122	\$53,823.53								
Net Worth	0.132	\$58,235.29								
Total Liabilities and Net Worth	1	\$441 176 47								

This table shows financial statement forecasts starting from a sales estimate of \$1,500,000. Panel A shows the income statement and Panel B shows the balance sheet. Other expenses in the RMA data are assumed to be exclusively interest expenses. * RMA figure changed from 0.022 to 0.0023 to facilitate balancing.

Equity Contribution Starting Point

Sometimes an entrepreneur cannot formulate a reliable sales estimate. The entrepreneur might only know the amount of money they have available to invest in the firm. In this section, we use the owner's equity contribution into the firm as a starting point for the analysis. Table 2 shows the resulting financial statements. Because the analysis is based on a balance sheet estimate, we use current data sorted by assets for the forecast. Consider an entrepreneur who has accumulated \$200,000 that he wishes to invest in a business. The entrepreneur wishes to remain the sole equity holder in the firm. From this figure, the financial statements can be estimated.

The estimation requires calculation of the firm's total liabilities and equity. To do this, the RMA percentage figure for net worth is observed. Identifying the appropriate RMA data column requires an approximation, because the total asset amount has not yet been established. We observe that net worth as a percentage of total assets ranges from about 16 percent to 36 percent. Given a net worth starting value of \$200,000, it is probable that total assets will fall between \$500,000 and \$2 million and so the second column is selected. Total assets, *TA*, are estimated using the following formula:

 $TA = \frac{Equity \ Contribution}{Equity \ Percentage \ of \ Total \ Asets}$. RMA data shows that Equity (Net Worth) is 29.1 percent of Total Asets. Assets, so the calculations for our example are: $TA = \frac{\$200,000}{0.291} = \$687,285.22$. Using this total asset estimate, the remaining balance sheet items are calculated using the appropriate percentages.

Next, the balance sheet is linked to the income statement. This is done, as before, using the sales to total assets ratio: $STA = \frac{Sales}{Total Assets}$. In this case, we know the total asset amount of \$607,902.74 and the ratio of sales to total assets from RMA of 3.4. Thus the formula becomes: $3.4 = \frac{Sales}{\$687,285.22}$. Solving the equation for sales gives \$2,336,769.76. The remaining income statement items are computed using the sales estimate and the appropriate RMA percentages.

Panel A: Income Statement												
Item	Percentage	Dollar Amount										
Sales	1	\$2,336,769.76										
Cost of Goods Sold		\$1,483,848.80										
Gross Profit	0.365	\$852,920.96										
Operating Expenses	0.342	\$799,175.26										
EBIT	0.023	\$53,745.70										
Other Expenses	0.004	\$9,347.08										
EBT	0.019	\$44,398.63										
Panel B: Balance Sheet												
Cash	0.104*	\$71,477.66										
Trade Receivables	0.241	\$165,635.74										
Inventory	0.347	\$238,487.97										
Other Current Assets	0.039	\$26,804.12										
Total Current Assets	0.731	\$502,405.50										
Net Fixed Assets	0.146	\$100,343.64										
Intangibles	0.04	\$27,491.41										
Other Non Current Assets	0.083	\$57,044.67										
Total Assets		\$687,285.22										
Notes Payable	0.159	\$109,278.35										
Current Mat. Long Term Debt	0.052	\$35,738.83										
Trade Payables	0.163	\$112,027.49										
Income Taxes Payable	0.003	\$2,061.86										
All Other Current	0.165	\$113,402.06										
Total Current	0.542	\$372,508.59										
Long Term Debt	0.108	\$74,226.80										
Deferred Taxes	0	\$0.00										
All Other Non-Current	0.059	\$40,549.83										
Net Worth	0.291	\$200,000.00										
Total Liabilities and Net Worth	1	\$687,285.22										

Table 2: Financial Statement Estimates starting from an Owners Equity Estimate

This table shows financial statement forecasts starting from an owner's capital contribution of \$200,000. Panel A shows the income statement and Panel B shows the balance sheet. Other expenses in the RMA data are assumed to be exclusively interest expenses. * RMA figure changed from 0.105 to 0.104 to facilitate balancing.

JUDGMENT ENHANCED STATEMENTS

In some instances it may be necessary to make adjustments to the data provided by RMA to reflecting the preparer's judgment. This might be necessary for several reasons. First, data may not be available for an industry or the sample size for an industry may not be sufficient to provide a reliable average. Adjustments might also be necessary if the industry or economy has experienced a shock such as the events of September 11, 2001. In these cases, historical based financial statement estimates created from RMA data might not fairly represent future expectation. In these and certainly other instances adjustments to the historical figures are appropriate based on the judgment of the preparer.

CLASSROOM IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT

The financial statement forecasting techniques demonstrated here are suitable for use in finance, accounting or management courses. The authors have successfully integrated the technique into the principles of finance courses, required of every business student at one university. The technique is also utilized in the small business finance course, which is offered as an upper division business elective for business majors. In both cases the technique is taught in conjunction with financial statement and ratio analysis. Demonstrating the technique requires about 40 minutes of class time. Students are also assigned an out of class project requiring them to obtain RMA data from the University library and forecast financial statements.

Assurance of learning is an important function of any business program and teaching tool. To date efforts have not been undertaken to assess this technique in comparison to other financial statement forecasting techniques. One method to assess the technique would be to provide forecasts based on RMA financial statements and those developed using other methods to a series of bankers. The bankers might be asked to assess the quality of the financial statement forecasts from the two methods.

While direct assessment of the technique has not been completed, some casual observations can be noted. First, students completing the Principles of Finance Course complete a teacher evaluation at the end of the course. One open ended question asked on the evaluation is "What is the most valuable element of the course?" With some frequency, students note that financial statement forecasting was among the most valuable elements learned in the course.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS AND PRECAUTIONARY NOTES

This paper demonstrates a method to forecast financial statements using industry average information available from Risk Management Associates. The methodology developed here offers three advantages over the percentage of sales method commonly found in financial textbooks. The method here specifies the appropriate percentages for each account using industry average data. It allows the developer to use any figure in the income statement or balance sheet as a starting point. Third, the technique developed here is applicable to both the income statement and balance sheet while the percentage of sales method is applicable only to the income statement. The resulting financial statements are founded in science and thus are defendable to a skeptical banker or other interested party.

Several precautionary notes are in order. The figures presented by RMA represent averages for established companies. An individual starting a company might experience substantially different results, particularly in the earlier years of operation. Second, sometimes data presented by RMA is based on a small sample of firms. In these instances, the reported results might not be representative of what an entrepreneur might experience. Third, the data presented here are based on national averages. Regional data, also available from RMA might provide additional insights. Finally, the data presented by RMA is historical in nature. In some instances history may not be a good approximation of the future. This is likely to be the case in some industries around a major shock such as the events of September 11, 2001. Despite these limitations, the statements provided here provide an important improvement over the percentage of sales estimate or a best guess estimate.

APPENDIX

Current Data Sorted by Assets													Comparative Historical Data					
										Type of Statement								
	•				1		6	1	1	Beviewed		11		17				
	3 1/1		24		33		1	1		Compiled	1	47		49				
	45		56		14		3		3	Tax Returns	1	93		92				
	23		48		35	35 1		5	-	Other		115						
		64	l (4/1-9/3	0/09)			295 (10/1	1/09-3/31/10)			1	4/1/05-		4/1/06- 3/31/07				
	0-500M	1	500M-2N	MN	2-10M	N	10-50MM	50-100MM	100-250MM		i	ALL		ALL				
	85		142		97		24	7	4	NUMBER OF STATEMENTS		349		358				
	%		%		%		%	%	%	ASSETS		%		%				
	11.2		10.5		10.3		5.5			Cash & Equivalents		7.5		8.5				
	15.4		24.1		23.0		20.9			Trade Receivables (net)		29.0		31.1				
	35.4		34.7		30.8		38.3			Inventory	i i	36.2		32.2				
	3.3		3.9		3.9 69.0		2.5			All Other Current	1	2.4		2.8				
	21.8		14.6		20.2		17.1			Fixed Assets (set)		15.6		16.4				
	2.9		4.0		3.2		8.8			Intannibles (net)	1	3.0		2.6				
	9.9		8.3		8.7		7.0			All Other Non-Current	1	6.3		6.4				
	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0			Total	1	100.0		100.0				
										LIABILITIES								
	20.4		15.9		11.0		10.5			Notes Pavable-Short Term	1	12.0		13.9				
	4.4		5.2		2.1		4.3			Cur. MatL.T.D.	1	1.9		2.8				
	26.3		16.3		17.0		19.1			Trade Payables		21.3		19.8				
	.1		.3		.4		.1			Income Taxes Payable	1	.4		.2				
	21.5		16.5		17.2		13.2			All Other Current		15.7		15.7				
	72.7		54.2		47.8		47.1			Total Current		51.2		52.3				
	24.8		10.8		10.6		711.0			Long-Term Debt	Í	12.9		12.0				
	19.3		5.9		5.8		8.5			All Other Non-Current	i i	4.5		4.6				
	-16.8		29.1		35.7		32.7			Net Worth	1	31.4		31.0				
	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0			Total Liabilities & Net Worth		100.0		100.0				
											i							
	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0			Not Salas	i i	100.0		100.0				
	39.4		36.5		37.9		33.4			Gross Profit		35.3		33.9				
	40.7		36.3		37.6		33.6			Onerating Expenses		32.5		30.7				
	-1.3		.2		.3		2			Operating Profit		2.8		3.1				
	.6		.4		.6		1.3			All Other Expenses (net)		.2		.4				
	-1.9		2		3		-1.4			Profit Before Taxes	1	2.6		2.7				
										RATIOS								
	2.4		2.5		2.8		1.7					2.4		2.4				
	1.2		1.4		1.4		1.4			Current		1.5		1.5				
	.6		.9		1.1		1.2				i i	1.1		1.1				
	1.2		1.4		1.3		.7					1.3		1.4				
(84)	.5		.6		.6		.5			Quick	(348)	.7		.7				
	.1		.3		.3		.3					.4		.4				
0	UND	9	39.4	9	38.7	8	47.7				11	33.9	12	30.8				
10	36.4	23	15.7	23	16.1	22	16.3			Sales/Receivables	25	14.5	26	13.9				
21	17.1	37	10.0	44	8.3	42	8.6				42	8.6	43	8.5				
15	24.1	24	15.2	26	14.1	35	10.4				24	15.4	20	18.5				
42	8.8	49	7.5	51	7.1	83	4.4			Cost of Sales/Inventory	50	7.3	38	9.5				
79	4.6	92	4.0	97	3.8	189	1.9				89	4.1	77	4.8				
7	55.1	13	27.7	15	24.2	20	17.9				16	22.9	13	27.9				
21	17.6	22	16.4	26	13.8	31	11.9			Cost of Sales/Payables	29	12.8	24	15.0				
45	8.2	37	9.9	46	7.9	58	6.2				4/	7.8	40	9.2				
	11.3		5.7		6.2		7.2					7.2		7.7				
	53.6		16.6		13.0		10.4			Sales/Working Capital		15.8		14.3				
	-17.5		-54.4		80.1		42.0				<u> </u>	81.0		/1.5				
(07)	6.0	(447)	6.0	(00)	4.7	(00)	4.0				(000)	13.7	(000)	14.9				
(67)	1.0	(117)	1.0	(83)	1.1	(22)	./			EBI1/Interest	(303)	4.0	(320)	4.2				
	-0.3		-3.0		-3.9		-4.3				<u> </u>	1.4		1.4				
		(1.4)	4.1	(15)	9.0					Net Profit + Depr., Dep.,	(40)	7.3	(10)	5.0				
		(11)	1.7	(15)	1.8					Amort./Cur. Mat. L/T/D	(43)	2.8	(49)	2.9				
	~		2								i	1.4		1.0				
	.3		.1		.1		.4			Elizad Attacks	-	.1		.1				
	2.0		.5		.5		.6			Fixed/Worth		.4		.4				
	0		40.0		1.4		1.0				-	1.0		1.0				
	.9		.8		./		.9			DobtAtionth	-	.8		.9				
	-2.3		2.3		5.8		5.1			Dept/Worth		83		6.1				
	60.0		20.2		10.7		12.1			+	l	52.1		50.0				
(40)	11 1	(100)	50.3	(96)	19.7	(10)	3.1			% Profit Before Taxes/Tangible	(200)	21.1	(310)	25.2				
(43)	-9.6	(100)	-26.4	(00)	-15.4	(13)	-4.2			Net Worth	(233)	33	(010)	7 2				
	10.0		0.0				4 5					16.0		10.2				
	10.9		0.0		6.7		4.5			% Profit Before Taxes/Total	-	5.9		19.2				
	-35.7		-8.2		-47		-12.5			Assets		1.0		1.8				
	97.2		73.4		50.2		13.4				l	78.0		89.6				
	27.0		35.3		20.2		43.4			Salae/Nat Eivad Accate		34.8		37.4				
	14.3		18.1		7.3		6,9			Galearnet FIXEU ASSELS		17.2		17.3				
	7 3		4.6		3.5		37					4.6		5.1				
	4.5		3.4		2.7		2.1			Sales/Total Acceto	3.6		3.8					
	2.7		2.2		1.8		1.6			Galbar Total Associa		2.7		2.8				
	5		4		5		.8			1		4		3				
(63)	1.0	(111)	.7	(82)	.9	(19)	1.1			% Depr Dep Amort /Sales	(288)	.6	(293)	.5				
,007	1.8		1.4		1.8		1.6			To popul, popul Amorutodida	,	1.1	,/	1.2				
	33		21		14							2.0		1.7				
(44)	5.9	(87)	3.9	(51)	3.3					% Officers', Directors'	(216)	3.6	(221)	3.3				
,	9.1		5.9		7.2					Owners' Comp/Sales	,	6.7		5.9				
	9252284		3296414		110020284	•	689826M	209620214	245499984	Net Sales (\$)	e1	0967284		43310014				
	21065M		151315M		399546M		504385M	573444M	692636M	Total Assets (\$)	13	74048M	1	528665M				

RETAIL—Floor Covering Stores NAICS 442210

© RMA 2010

M = \$ thousand MM = \$ million

RETAIL—Floor Covering Stores NAICS 442210

Comparative Historical Data

Current Data Sorted by Sales

	13 52 70 88 114 4/1/07- 3/37/08 ALL 337 % 9.4 28.7 31.9 4.0 73.9 16.9 2.4 6.8 100.0		11 49 78 110 118 4/1/08 3/31/0 ALL 366 % 8.3 25.2 34.4 2.8 70.7 17.6 3.9 7.8 100.0	9	9 52 53 121 4/1/09- 3/31/10 3/31/0 3.7 3.6 68.8 18.3 4.2 8.8 100.0	Type of Statement Unqualified Reviewed Compiled Tax Returns Other NUMBER OF STATEMENTS ASSETS Cash & Equivalents Trade Receivables (net) Internot Fixed Assets (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net) Intangtibles (net)		1 12 33 12 0-1MM 58 % 8.4 12.1 40.8 3.8 65.1 21.3 3.9 9.7 100.0	6	6 16 46 32 34 (4/1-9:3) 1-3MM 100 % 12.1 17.9 39.1 17.9 39.1 17.9 39.1 12.2 71.4 17.6 3.4 7.6 100.0	0/09)	7 10 16 21 3-5MM 54 12.7 23.6 26.7 5.9 68.8 16.1 4.9 10.2 100.0		23 8 14 22 295 (10) 5-10MM 67 % 9.8 30.0 25.0 4.8 69.5 18.2 2.4 9.9 100.0	/1/09-	12 5 7 18 3/31/10) 10-25MM 42 % 9.3 23.6 33.8 2.2 68.9 18.5 4.6 8.1 100.0	25	9 3 2 5 19 5 19 38 % 6.9 21.8 34.2 3.2 66.0 18.3 2.2 86.0 7.7 100.0
	14.4 3.0 19.4 .3 14.7 51.8 14.5 .1 5.3 28.3 100.0		15.6 4.7 19.4 17.3 57.1 13.0 .1 6.8 23.0 100.0		15.2 4.1 19.4 .3 17.7 56.7 14.7 .1 9.5 19.0 100.0	Notes Payable-Short Term Cur. MatL.T.D. Trade Payables Income Taxes Payable All Other Current Total Current Long-Term Debt Deferred Taxes All Other Non-Current Net Worth Total Liabilities & Net Worth		15.9 5.2 25.9 .1 18.9 66.1 28.2 .0 15.0 -9.3 100.0		15.9 6.2 16.9 .1 19.5 58.6 16.0 .0 12.2 13.2 100.0		13.9 3.1 16.6 .7 17.8 52.2 9.4 .0 6.3 32.1 100.0		18.0 2.1 18.2 .5 13.0 51.8 8.2 .0 6.0 33.9 100.0		13.3 1.9 19.4 .1 12.8 47.4 9.7 .2 4.6 38.1 100.0		11.5 4.3 21.6 .2 25.2 62.8 15.5 .4 10.3 11.0 100.0
_	100.0 100.0 100.0 35.3 34.7 37.5 32.7 34.0 37.6 2.6 .8 1 .4 .4 .6 2.2 .4 7 2.5 2.2 2.4 1.5 1.4 1.4		INCOME DATA Net Sales Gross Profit Operating Expenses Operating Profit All Other Expenses (net) Profit Before Taxes RATIOS		100.0 42.0 -2.1 1.4 -3.4 3.6		100.0 1 40.4 40.1 .3 .7 4		100.0 35.9 35.1 .8 .0 .8 3.9 1.4		100.0 34.7 35.5 .9 .4 -1.3 2.1		100.0 32.9 32.0 1.0 .1 .9 2.0		100.0 35.7 34.8 .9 .9 1			
	1.1 1.3 .7 .4 33.1	(365)	1.0 1.2 .6 .3 48.4	(358)	.9 1.2 .6 .2 57.0	Quick	(57)	.6 1.1 .4 .1 UND	5	.8 1.5 .5 .2 78.1	9	.9 1.5 .8 .3 40.3	18	1.0 1.3 .7 .4 20.4	9	1.2 1.1 .5 .3 39.1	5	1.0 .9 .5 .2 77.9
25 43 19 41 76 13	14.4 8.5 19.3 8.9 4.8 27.3	23 39 22 45 94 13	15.6 9.4 16.8 8.1 3.9 27.8	20 32 24 49 92 14	18.5 11.3 15.4 7.4 4.0 26.9	Sales/Receivables Cost of Sales/Inventory	9 26 26 85 243	41.1 14.1 13.8 4.3 1.5 61.7	14 27 24 56 126 14	25.3 13.6 15.3 6.5 2.9 26.7	22 38 20 41 72 13	16.5 9.5 18.3 8.9 5.1 27.2	28 39 14 35 62 15	13.2 9.4 26.1 10.4 5.9 24.0	21 38 26 45 79 14	17.3 9.6 14.1 8.2 4.6 26.2	20 41 30 60 110	18.6 8.9 12.0 6.0 3.3 20.5
24 43	15.4 8.5 7.5 14.4 109.4	23 40	15.9 9.0 8.4 18.5 -230.7 6.4	25 43	14.8 8.5 6.7 17.2 -50.2	Cost of Sales/Payables Sales/Working Capital	20 69	17.8 5.3 3.9 18.7 -9.8 2.4	26 44	13.9 8.3 5.7 17.8 -28.6	22 35	16.6 10.4 5.7 18.9 -48.9	25 40	14.9 9.2 8.6 20.9 -415.3	24 38	15.2 9.5 8.2 14.8 42.3	33 62	11.2 5.9 7.8 17.3 -130.8
(293)	3.3 1.2 4.4 1.8 .6	(315) (44)	1.8 7 4.7 1.5 2	(299)	1.0 -4.1 3.9 1.7 2	EBIT/Interest Net Profit + Depr., Dep., Amort./Cur. Mat. L/T/D	(41)	5 -8.6	(87)	1.2 -3.8	(43)	2.6	(58) (10)	.9 -6.2 7.1 1.7 .0	(34)	1.5 -3.9	(36)	.9 -3.5
	.1 .4 1.4 2.3 7.2		.2 .5 6.3 .9 2.5 26.5		.2 .6 165.0 .8 2.4 -70.7	Fixed/Worth Debt/Worth		.2 .7 4 .7 9.0 -2.6		.2 1.1 -3.0 .7 4.0 -9.1		.2 .4 NM .8 2.0 NM		.1 .5 1.6 .7 1.6 6.2		.2 .5 1.4 1.1 1.7 7.9		.2 .7 NM 1.0 2.5 -4.7
(288)	52.2 21.5 4.4 16.3 5.8	(292)	36.5 12.2 -5.6 9.2 2.3	(268)	26.1 5.6 -12.7 8.6 .9	% Profit Before Taxes/Tangible Net Worth % Profit Before Taxes/Total Assets	(36)	18.2 5.1 -27.6 6.9 -2.4	(69)	32.4 5.5 -25.1 9.7 .7	(41)	40.5 6.6 -11.7 11.6 3.3	(56)	27.3 4.8 -7.2 6.2 .7	(38)	26.3 7.1 -6.7 10.7 2.3	(28)	21.6 4.6 -5.0 5.7 1
	.6 86.5 33.1 15.3 4.8 3.7		-4.2 76.8 32.4 15.4 5.1 3.6		-9.3 65.7 28.1 12.0 4.7 3.3	Sales/Net Fixed Assets		-23.7 103.9 21.5 5.5 4.5 2.0		-7.8 60.9 25.7 13.3 5.1 3.4		-8.2 66.4 42.7 14.3 4.8 3.2		-9.6 58.3 29.3 12.1 4.5 3.6		-5.0 68.0 34.3 11.3 4.9 3.3		-9.0 61.9 21.1 8.1 4.7 3.0
(274)	2.5 .4 .7 1.2 1.9	(288)	3.0 2.3 .4 .7 1.2 1.7	(280)	2.1 .4 .9 1.6 2.1	% Depr., Dep., Amort./Sales	(43)	1.0 .4 1.1 3.6 4.6	(77)	2.2 .5 .9 1.7 2.8	(42)	2.2 .4 .7 1.2 2.1	(56)	3.0 2.6 .4 .9 1.8 1.7	(36)	2.6 .4 .8 1.1 1.0	(26)	1.8 .6 1.1 1.7 .9
(202) 57 16	3.6 6.6 31901M 53845M	(210) 5 1	3.3 6.3 396098N 749744N	(193) 1 79 1 23	3.9 7.2 666895M 842391M	% Utticers', Directors' Owners' Comp/Sales Net Sales (\$) Total Assets (\$)	(26)	6.9 12.7 35484M 30519M	(65)	5.5 8.5 188780M 88016M	(34)	3.1 6.1 203567M 74506M	(36)	2.7 5.1 474691M 151663M	(21)	2.2 4.1 664700M 215598M	(11) 63	2.4 2.6 399673M 782089M
© RMA 20	10					M = \$ thousand MM = \$ millio	n			50010141								-2000/11

			С	urren	t Data S	Sorte	d by Asse	Comparative Historical Da							
			1		6	1	1	Unqualified		10		15			
	3		13		29		1	1		Reviewed		45		48	
	13		19		14		1		2	Tax Returns		75 75		81	
	18		35		25		10	3	-	Other		79		88	
		52	(4/1-9/3	0/09)			242 (10/1/	09-3/31/10)				4/1/05- 3/31/06		4/1/06- 3/31/07	
	0-500M		500M-2I	мм	2-10MM 81		10-50MM 21	50-100M	IM 100-250MM	Assets Size		ALL 284		ALL 315	
	%		%		%		%	%	%			%		%	
	1.02		1.06		.76		1.08					.25		.26	
(73)	5.80	(109)	3.24	(79)	1.93		1.28			Risk Calc EDF	(254)	.63	(293)	.52	
	16.47		8.43		5.36		6.39			(1 yr)		1.24		1.19	
Ba2	6.36	Ba1	5.20	Baa3	3.72	Ba1	5.20				Baa2	2.45	Baa2	2.43	
B2	15.40	B1	10.44	Ba2	7.09	Ba2	6.00			Moodys EDF Risk Calc EDF Pating (see note) (5 vr)	Ba1	4.63	Baa3	4.13	
Caa-C	39.15	B3	21.14	B1	12.07	B2	13.94				Ba3	7.77	Ba2	6.96	
-	%		%		%		%	%	%	CASH FLOW MEASURES		%		%	
	50.5		43.1		49.4		45.4					40.8		38.8	
(73)	39.7	(109)	36.3	(79)	39.4		35.9			Cash from Trading/Salos		31.3		31.1	
	34.1		28.3		28.3		28.3			Trading/barca		23.9		23.6	
	E 9		5.5		0 0		0 1					5.1		5.9	
(73)	1.9	(109)	1.7	(79)	4.1		5.2			Cash after		1.9		2.8	
	-2.1		-1.7		.4		3.1			Operations/Sales		8		3	
(72)	7.0	(100)	6.0	(70)	9.3		8.0			Net Cash after		5.4		6.1	
(73)	-1.6	(109)	-1.4	(79)	8		2.9			Operations/Sales		.0		.3	
	2.7		3.0		5.4		6.9			Not Cash after Debt		2.9		3.2	
(73)	1	(109)	9	(79)	.6		2.4			Amortization/Sales		.3		.2	
	-4.1		-3.0		-2.4		-4.7					-2.2		-2.0	
	5.2		8.3		6.2		11.1					7.3		7.5	
(59)	1.7	(95)	.7	(75)	1.7	(20)	3.3			Debt Service	(264)	1.9	(290)	2.2	
	9		8		-1.3		.4			r ai coverage		.0		.1	
	11.2		14.7		11.4		10.2					15.6		12.4	
(59)	2.1	(93)	2.2	(72)	3.3	(19)	8.2			Interest Coverage	(261)	3.8	(285)	4.5	
	-4.5		-1.6		8		2.4			(Operating Cash)		2		.2	
(68)	-4.4	(105)	-3.8	(77)	-12 0		-8.4			A Inventory	(274)	30.7 9.2	(301)	27.5	
(00)	-22.5	(100)	-20.7	(///	-32.4		-20.9			Δ inventory	(2)4/	-3.6	(001)	-11.4	
	2.9		5.7		8.7		-2.9					31.6		25.2	
	-17.9		-11.7		-11.8		-11.2			Δ Total Current Assets		-3.1		-6.2	
	1		2.2		4.4		2.1					28.3		20.0	
	-9.3		-9.9		-6.1		-9.1			Δ Total Assets		10.9		4.2	
	-25.2		-19.4		-18.0		-13.4					2		-4.7	
	5.5		10.3		7.0		11.1					38.0		36.5	
(71)	-15.9	(109)	-10.7		.0		1.1			Δ Retained Earnings	(282)	8.7	(313)	8.4	
	-57.1		-42.5		-19.2		-34.4			-		-4.0		-5.6	
	6.0		0.2		0.4		77					22.4		10.7	
	-24.2		-0.2		-0.4		-16.8			A Net Sales		7.3		6.8	
	-33.8		-29.0		-27.5		-24.5					-1.5		-3.4	
	-10.9		-6.8		-7.0		-9.4			A Cost of Coods Cold	(292)	23.0		19.5	
	-24.4		-31.7		-30.9		-24.2			Δ Cost of Goods Sold	(203)	-3.5		-4.8	
(===)	89.1		75.0	(00)	120.9		59.7			A Profit before	(888)	93.9		111.3	
(72)	-21.8		-26.4	(80)	-5.3		-25.5			Int. & Taxes	(283)	24.3		-26.8	
	-00.0		-113.5		-70.5		-55.5					-20.5		-20.0	
	.0		11.2		.3		9.8					27.7		24.9	
(65)	-20.0	(104)	-10.0	(76)	-12.3	(19)	-4.2			Δ Depr./Depl./Amort.	(272)	.0	(295)	-3.6	
	-50.0		-42.6		-43.8		-12.5					-32.8		-33.3	
	31.8		59		86		13.6			RATIOS		25.5		27.8	
(73)	-1.1	(109)	-6.7		.6		4.8			Sustainable	(279)	5.2		7.3	
	-28.5		-38.3		-19.1		-8.7			Growth Rate		-10.6		-8.3	
	1		a		5		19					5		3	
	2.6		4.2		2.8		5.3			Funded Debt/EBITDA		2.0		1.7	
	-1.3		6		NM		7					4.8		4.4	
	81592M	M 406945M			883072M		1480387M 102227054 126407054		Net Sales (\$)	10	51179M		4186246M		
	17663M		3M 114517M		326549M	4	424261M	408563M	457583M	Total Assets (\$)	12	33660M	1	1251801M	

RETAIL—Floor Covering Stores NAICS 442210

© RMA 2010 See Pages 00 through 00 for Explanation of Ratios and Data If a number of statements appears for the Risk Calc EDF (1 yr), it also applies to the (5 yr).

RETAIL—Floor Covering Stores NAICS 442210

	Compa	arativ	e Histo	rical	Data			Current Data Sorted by Sales											
				0	Type of Sta	tement											0		
	45		44		9 47	Review	ed	1		6		7		23		8		9	
	53 56 4		47	Compile	ed	11		15		8		7		4		2			
	77 81 100		100	Tax Retu	irns	24		42		12		12		6		4			
	4/1/07-		4/1/08-		91 4/1/09-	Utilei		/		24	(20/00)	17		1/	10/1/00	13		13	
	3/31/08		3/31/09)	3/31/10	Color Ci	70	0.1		52 (4/ 1-5 1 9M	//30/09) M	3-5MM 5-		242 (5 10M	10/1/09 M	10 25MM			
	275		279		294	Number of Sta	ze itements	43 87		IVI	44		5-10M	IVI	31	2	30		
	%		%		%	EXPECTED DEFAUL	T FREQUENCY	%		%		%		%		%		%	
	.73		1.50		.87			2.29		1.16		.75		.76		.73		.80	
(272)	1.65	(273)	4.45	(290)	2.98	Risk Calc	EDF	7.15	(86)	4.86	(43)	2.24	(58)	1.77	(30)	1.11		2.33	
	4.03		10.25		8.39	(1 yr)		15.52		10.39		6.54		6.94		4.13		7.77	
Dee2	4.00	D-2	C 45	D1	4 77				De1	E 60	Bee2	2.02	Dec2	2.04	Dee2	2.65	Dee2	4.00	
Ba3	4.23	B1	11.89	Ba3	9.31	Moodys EDF	Moodys EDF Risk Calc EDF Rating (see note) (5 yr) Ca		B1	5.69	Ba3	3.93	Ba2	3.94	Ba1	5.00	Ba2	4.29	
B2	13.77	Caa-C	24.91	B3	21.64	Rating (see note)			Caa-C	30.28	B3	17.52	B2	16.84	Ba3	9.73	B3	18.22	
	%		%		%	CASH FLOW M	CASH FLOW MEASURES			%		%		%		%		%	
	44.9		43.2		47.1	0.14		55.3		50.1		43.1		44.6		42.3		47.9	
(274)	34.0	(277)	34.1	(290)	38.5	Cash fro	om Salaa	(42) 42.7	(86)	38.5	(43)	37.7	(58)	39.4		33.7		36.1	
	26.2		26.6		29.3	Trauing/s	odies	34.1		32.1		29.6		27.5		27.6		27.1	
(07.4)	6.7	(0.77)	5.9	(000)	6.8	Cash af	ter	11.0	(0.0)	6.4	(10)	5.8	(50)	5.4		8.7		6.9	
(274)	3.2	(277)	2.2	(290)	2.4	Operations	/Sales	(42) .8	(86)	2.0	(43)	2.6	(58)	2.1		3.5		4.7	
			3		-1.3			-4.0		-1.9		.4		-1.1		.1		1.5	
	6.0		6.0		71			12.0		77		E 7		E 7		0.2		7.2	
(274)	3.5	(277)	2.4	(290)	3.0	Net Cash	Net Cash after		(86)	2.6	(43)	3.1	(58)	1.8		2.9		4.3	
(2)4)	.1	(2)))	3	(200)	-1.0	Operations	Operations/Sales		. (00)	-1.3	(43)	.3	(30)	9		-1.2		1.9	
	3.2		2.4		3.6					3.0		4.2		2.7		5.2		5.0	
(274)	.4	(277)	2	(290)	1	Net Cash att	Net Cash after Debt Amortization/Sales		(86)	8	(43)	.2	(58)	6		.6		1.8	
	-2.0		-3.3		-3.6	Amortization				-3.8		-5.7		-2.4		-2.6		-1.8	
	8.0		6.3		6.2	Daht Sar	Debt Service			5.6		12.0		6.2		8.9		15.3	
(246)	1.8	(249)	1.6	(256)	1.4	P&I Cove	rade	(30) .8	(79)	1.3	(36)	2.1	(55)	.9	(28)	1.7	(28)	3.3	
	.0		.0		8	1 01 0010				9		.0		-1.2		-1.5		.4	
	44.7				10.0			4.5		10.0		10.1		10.0		45.5		26.2	
(240)	11.7	(240)	9.9	(250)	12.8	Interest Co	verage	(20) 9	(77)	13.2	(25)	16.1	(54)	10.2	(26)	15.5	(20)	36.3	
(240)	.1	(240)	3	(250)	-1.6	(Operating Cash)		-8.4	. (77)	-1.7	(35)	.0	(34)	-2.5	(20)	-3.2	(20)	1.7	
																0.0			
	15.1		11.8		9.1			8.8		6.6		21.2		15.8		4.4		2.6	
(264)	-2.3	(267)	-2.4	(278)	-5.6	Δ Invent	A Inventory		(82)	-3.7	(42)	-13.2	(55)	-4.8	(30)	-11.3	(29)	-6.1	
	-15.2		-21.7		-23.3		,	-27.7		-16.5		-36.3		-23.9		-28.8		-19.2	
	20.0		9.7		5.8			4.8		5.8		14.8		1.6		4.9		18.4	
	3.0		-6.9		-11.6	Δ Total Curre	nt Assets	-10.8		-11.8		-12.9		-12.8		-12.2		-8.1	
	-13.6		-19.4		-24.8			-36.3		-25.5		-27.5		-27.2		-28.1		-14.5	
	40.4		0.5		2.2					0.5		6.0		0		6.0		0.1	
	16.4		8.5		3.3	A T-1-1 A		2		2.5		6.0		9		6.8		9.1	
	-8.3		-3.4		-0.0	Δ Total A	ssets	-7.1		-9.2		-3.4		-12.2		-2.9		-0.7	
	33.3		17.5		8.6			-1.7		8.7		19.6		5.2		35.3		6.8	
(272)	6.2	(276)	-1.0	(290)	-5.8	A Retained F	aminos	(42) -14.9	(85)	-10.2		-6.7	(58)	-4.4		5.5		.4	
	-15.5		-28.8		-35.4			-58.8		-34.5		-39.4		-38.6		-15.4		-20.6	
	12.8		2.9		-7.9			-9.4		-7.1		-8.5		-4.8		-7.4		-7.9	
	-2.0		-7.7		-17.9	Δ Net Si	ales	-26.8		-19.9		-16.7		-17.9		-14.8		-15.4	
	-13.3		-18.5		-29.3			-41.8		-30.7		-21.1		-29.3		-27.0		-19.4	
	12.0		2.0		07			10 -		F 4		10.0		E 2		2.0		0.1	
(274)	-2.0	(279)	-9.2		-0.7	A Cost of Co	ada Cald	-13.0		-5.4		-10.8		-5.3		-2.9		-9.1	
(2/4)	-17.2	(270)	-20.1		-13.2		005 5010	-44.3		-33.4		-31.9		-29.5		-25.3		-21.9	
	67.6		40.7		87.2			72.9		103.2		104.8		87.4		71.2		99.6	
	-2.2		-27.7	(291)	-20.0	Δ Profit b	efore	(41) -26.7		-17.4		-22.1	(58)	-15.4		-3.1		-31.9	
	-58.5		-88.5		-85.0	Int. & Ta	xes	-104.5		-88.9		-107.6		-123.6		-54.6		-91.9	
	25.5		15.3		3.2			.0		.0		12.8		5.9		3.7		2.6	
(257)	-6.3	(261)	-9.1	(271)	-10.0	Δ Depr./Depl	./Amort.	(37) -8.3	(83)	-19.7	(40)	-15.9	(56)	-8.4	(29)	-5.7	(26)	-3.8	
	-35.1		-38.7		-40.0			-45.0		-51.3		-44.6		-33.4		-50.3		-12.4	
	00 7		46.1		10.4	RATIO	s			= 0		4.5		40.0		47.0		110	
(070)	29.7	(277)	16.4	(202)	13.1		-	34.8	100	7.0	(40)	4.1		10.3		17.2		14.0	
(272)	4.2	(2//)	-21.1	(292)	-1.7	Sustaina	l0lê Pato	-12.6	(86)	-34.9	(43)	-10.7		-1.6		4.8		-6.0	
	12.0		-61.1		27.0	Growth	iaid	-13.6		04.0		-50.5		-00.1		22.0		0.0	
	.4		.8		.5			.0		.5		.5		.7		.5	.5 .3		
	2.2		3.5		3.5	Funded Debt	/EBITDA	20.6		3.7		2.2		3.3		2.2	4.0		
	5.6		14.0		8			-1.6		4		NM		-1.2		5.9		17.4	
	83721M		461331M	53	39253M	Net Sale	s (\$)	25860	M	16230914		16650514		416498M 60212784		20	64945M		
40	396611M	4	417006M	17	49136M	Total Asse	ts (\$)	20495	M	72486M		62844M	1	34253M		174538M	12	84520M	
© BMA 20	10					M = \$ thousand	MM - \$ millio												

© RMA 2010 M = \$ thousand MM = \$ million See Pages 00 through 00 for Explanation of Ratios and Data Note: The ratings are Moody's.edf rating (e.g. Ba1.edf) and not Moody's Investor Services Long-Term Bond Ratings. If a number of statements appears for the Risk Calc EDF (1 yr), it also applies to the (5 yr).

REFERENCES

Adelman, P.J. and A.M. Marks, *Entrepreneurial Finance: Finance for Small Business* (Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004), 3rd Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall.

Allen, K.R., *Launching New Ventures: An Entrepreneurial Approach* (Boston, MA,2006), 4th Edition Houghton Mifflin Company.

Barringer, B.R. and R.D. Ireland, 2010, *Entrepreneurship: SuccessfullyLaunching New Ventures* (Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2010) 3rd Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall.

Block, S.B., G.A. Hirt and B.R. Danielson, *Foundations of Financial Management* (New York, NY, 2009), 13th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Brealey, R.A., S.C Myers and A.J. Marcus, *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance* (New York, NY, 2009), 6th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Gitman, L.J., *Principles of Managerial Finance* (Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2009), 5th Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall.

Gullett, N.S.and A.L. Redman, "Internet Assignments in Principles of Financial Management," Advances in Financial Education, 2, (2004), 82 - 98

Hess, D.W., "An Investments Course Project Using Online Financial Information and Analytical Tools," *Advances in Financial Education*, 4,(2006), 40 – 54.

Kalra, R and M. Weber, "A Comprehensive Stock Analysis Project for the First Course in Investments," *Journal of Financial Education*, 30,(2004), 44 - 55

King, D.R., and W. Jennings, "The Impact of Augmenting Traditional Instruction with Technology Based Experiential Exercise", *Journal Of Financial Education*, 30, (2004). 9 – 25.

Kish, R. J, K.M. Hogan, "Linking Theory and Practice: An Asset Allocation Assignment," *Journal of Financial Education*, 27, (2001) 76 - 87

Kuratko, D.F., *Entrepreneurship: Theory, Process, Practice* (Mason,OH, 2009), 8th Edition, South-Western Cengage Learning

Loviscek, A.L., F.D. Crowley and R.I. Anderson, "Problem-based Learning in Finance," *Journal of Financial Education*, 29, (2003),85 - 103

Penman, S.H., *Financial Statement Analysis and Security Valuation* (New York, NY ,2010), 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Revsine, L., D.W Collins, W.B Johnson and H.F. Mittelstaedt, *Financial Reporting and Analysis* (New York, NY, 2009), 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Risk Management Associates (2011) "Annual Statement Studies" downloaded on May 10, 2011.

Rogers, S., *Entrepreneurial Finance: Finance and Business Strategies for the Serious Entrepreneur* (New York, NY ,2009), 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill.

Ross, S.A, R.W. Westerfield and B.D. Jordan, *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance* (New York, NY, 2010), 9th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Subramanyam, K.R. and J.J. Wild, *Financial statement analysis* (New York, NY, 2009), 10th Edition, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Timmons, J.A., A.Zacharakis and S. Spinelli, *Business PlansThat Work: A Guide for Small Business* (New York, NY, 2004), McGraw-Hill.

Weaver, S, "Using Value Line To Estimate the Cost of Capital and Industry Capital Structure," *Journal of Financial Education*, 29, (2003), 55 – 71

BIOGRAPHY

Terrance Jalbert is Professor of Finance at the University of Hawaii at Hilo. He is also an arbitrator for the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. His research appears in Journals that include, *International Journal of Finance, Financial Services Review, Journal of Applied Business Research, Journal of Personal Finance, Journal of Emerging Markets, International Journal of Business and Finance Research* and *Journal of Accounting Education.* He can be reached at University of Hawaii at Hilo, College of Business and Economics, 200 West Kawili St., Hilo, HI 96720. Email: jalbert@hawaii.edu.

James E. Briley is Assistant Professor of Finance at Northeastern State University. His research appears in journals that include *Journal of Finance* and *Review of Business and Finance Case Studies*. He can be reached at Northeastern State University, College of Business and Technology, Tahlequah, OK 74464. Email: brileyj@nsuok.edu

Mercedes Jalbert is Managing Editor for The Institute for Business and Finance Research. Her research appears in journals that include, *Financial Services Review, Tax Notes, Accounting and Taxation, International Journal of Management and Marketing Research,* and *Journal of Emerging Markets.* She can be reached at P.O. Box 4908, Hilo, HI 96720, admin@theIBFR.com